(13)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

Writ Petition NO. 1017 /2001.

1. Prabhu Nath Mishra, son of Shri Chhotakan Mishra, aged about 46 years, Occupation Corporator, Ward No. 20, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

2. Rajendra Singh Arora, son of Shri Sujan Singh Arora, aged about 45 years, Occupation - Corporator, Ward No. 22, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, C.G.

3. Bashishtha Narayan Mishra, son of Shri S.N.Mishra, aged about 30 years, Occupation- Corporator, ward No. 37, Municipal Corporation Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

: PETITIONERS

VERSUS

1. State of Chhattisgarh, through Secretary, Department of Local Self Government, Mantralaya, Raipur, Chhattisgarh.

2. Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, through its Commissioner, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

3. Mayor, Municipal Corporation, Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

I.A. No. 1046/2001 Presented by Shri Manmeet Singh Ahluwalia dated 22.6.2001

RECEIVED COPY. 22/6/2001 CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

(14)

4. Abdul Habib son of Abdul Wahid, resident of Street No. 6, Sector - 10 Bhilai, Chhattisgarh.

5. Moinuddin son of Sirajuddin, resident of Khalabadi, Durg, Chhattisgarh.

: RESPONDENTS

PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS, PROHIBITION AND FOR OTHER SUITABLE WRIT/WRITS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS ORDER / ORDERS.

1. PARTICULARS OF THE PETITIONERS :

XI-HC-22

(88)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1017/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	15-3-2002 Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Advocate for the petitioner. Shri Gautham Bhaduri, G.A. for respondent No. 1. Heard. Shri Shrivastava submitted that this petition is against the allotment of certain plots in favour of private respondents No. 4 and 5 by Municipal Corporation, Bhilai. Counsel for the State submitted that contractual rates have been approved. It is submitted that the representation has not been made. Shri Shrivastava submitted that Annexure 16-A does not show that any private party has been joined as a party. Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case and material available on record and without expressing any opinion on merits, in the opinion of this Court, it is just and proper that if the petitioner makes a petition joining Municipal Corporation as well as the persons in whose favour the order has been passed, the same shall be considered and decided on its own merits in accordance with the law after noticing all parties concerned.	

(89)

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No.1017/2001 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	With this observation, this petition is disposed of, leaving open to the parties to agitate the matter in accordance with law in case such an eventuality arises. Sd/- Fakhruddin Judge	

P.THAIK